

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/888,707	06/25/2001	Rob M. Trace	207385	8816
23460 7590 04/01/2005			EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780			NGUYEN, QUANG N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	
į			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/888,707	TRACE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Quang N. Nguyen	2141			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 seriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>11 January 2005</u> .				
·	,				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bu					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not rec	ceived.			
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 اعلمه المحادث	mon: (PTO 412)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	3) Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	B/08) 5) D Notice of Infon	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)	<u> </u>			
	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050326			

Detailed Action

1. This Office Action is in response to the Response to Office Action of November 18, 2004 filed on 01/11/2005. Claims 1-26 remain for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2141

3. Claims 1-4, 7-8, 10-12, 15-16, 18-21 and 24-25 are rejected under 35

Page 3

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Coughlin et al. (US 6,810,411), herein

after referred as Coughlin.

4. As to claim 1, Coughlin teaches a method comprising:

first receiving, by a multiple interface naming proxy via a first network interface, the network resource name service request (DNS server 120 receives a request to connect to the host 170 that contains information about or represents "www.site.com" from client 110) (Coughlin, C3: L50-58);

first transmitting, via at least a second network interface, a name query request corresponding to the network resource name (when the DNS server 120 does not have the IP address of the requested domain name, it communicates with one or more name servers such as authoritative server 140 or name servers 160 to resolve the IP address of the requested domain name) (Coughlin, C4: L7-13); and

second receiving in response to the first transmitting step, by the machine via the second network interface, a name query response including a network address for the resource residing on the subnet coupled to the machine via the second network interface (in response to the request of the DNS server 120, the authoritative server 140 or one of the name servers 160 responds with a DNS packet having at least one IP address for the host 170 of the domain "www.site.com") (Coughlin, C4: L53-57).

Art Unit: 2141

5. As to claim 2, Coughlin teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the DNS

server 120 maintains a cache of name-to-address entries, further comprising:

determining, in response to the first receiving step, that the cache does

Page 4

not contain any entry corresponding to a name identified in the name service

request (the DNS server 120 responds to the client 110 by supplying the name-

to-address conversion from a list of IP addresses available in an accessible

cache memory 130, if any) (Coughlin, C3: L58-61 and C4: L7-13).

6. As to claims 3-4, Coughlin teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the

machine executes a RAS server and the first network interface is a RAS interface

(DNS server 120 executes as a RAS server to establish the link between the

client 110 and the host 170) (Coughlin, Fig. 1 and C3: L50-58).

7. As to claim 7, Coughlin teaches the method of claim 3 further comprising

the step of transmitting the network address via the first network interface to a

RAS client (once the IP address is received from the authoritative server 140, the

DNS server 120 communicates the IP address to the client 110) (Coughlin, C4:

L53-57).

8. As to claim 8, Coughlin teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the

network address is an Internet protocol (IP) address (Coughlin, C3: L3-8).

Art Unit: 2141

9. Claims 10-12 and 15-16 are corresponding computer-readable medium

Page 5

claims of method claims 1-2, 4 and 7-8; therefore, they are rejected under the

same rationale.

10. Claims 18-21 and 24-25 are corresponding network server claims of

method claims 1-4 and 7-8; therefore, they are rejected under the same

rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for

all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the

invention was made.

12. Claims 5-6, 9, 13-14, 17, 22-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Coughlin, in view of Pontoppidan et al.

(US 2002/0161872), herein after referred as Pontoppidan.

Art Unit: 2141

13. As to claim 5, Coughlin teaches the method of claim 4, but does not explicitly teach the second network interface is linked to a local area network (LAN).

In a related art, Pontoppidan teaches a remote access server (RAS) 20, which could be installed on the same machine as gateway 22, connected to LAN switch 12 by network medium 16 for accessing LAN 10 (LAN 10 includes a network of computer equipments such as personal computer systems, a web server, a file server, an application server, etc., hence a name server could be implemented here) from a remote location. (Pontoppidan, Fig. 1, paragraphs [0011] and [0013-0015]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Coughlin and Pontoppidan to link the second network interface (via LAN switch 12) to a local area network (to LAN 10) connected to a network of variety computer equipments since such methods were conventionally employed in the art to enable a user to connect to a network (LAN, VPN, Intranets, etc.) from a remote location via a RAS server (and/or a gateway) to access the resources residing on the network and to remotely configure, monitor and manage the network (Pontoppidan, paragraphs [0002-0003] and [0012]).

Art Unit: 2141

14. As to claim 6, Coughlin-Pontoppidan teaches the method of claim 3,

Page 7

further comprising the steps of accessing and establishing, by the RAS server 20

on behalf of the RAS client (i.e., WAP terminal 50), a connection between the

RAS server and the resource residing on the subnet (e.g., various servers 14

and/or management station 18 residing on LAN 10) coupled to the machine via

the second interface (via the LAN switch 12) (Pontoppidan, Fig. 1 and paragraph

[0018]).

15. As to claim 9, Coughlin-Pontoppidan teaches the method of claim 1,

wherein the first and second network interface are linked to distinct local area

networks (LANs) (Pontoppidan teaches the second network interface connects to

LAN 10 via LAN switch 12, and the first network interface connects to a distinct

network via modem 30, which could be implemented as another LAN to one

having ordinary skill in the art) (Pontoppidan, Fig. 1).

16. Claims 13-14 and 17 are corresponding computer-readable medium

claims of method claims 5-6 and 9; therefore, they are rejected under the same

rationale.

17. Claims 22-23 and 26 are corresponding network server claims of method

claims 5-6 and 9; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2141

Response to Arguments

Page 8

18. In the remarks, applicant argued in substance that

(A) Prior Art fails to teach "first transmitting, via at least the second

network interface, a name query request corresponding to the network resource

name service request", as claimed in claim 1.

As to point (A), Coughlin teaches a DNS server 120 receives a request to

connect to the host 170 (via a first network interface) that contains information

about or represents "www.site.com" from client 110 (Coughlin, C3: L50-58),

when the DNS server 120 does not have the IP address of the requested domain

name in its cache, it communicates (via at least a second network interface) with

one or more name servers such as authoritative server 140 or name servers 160

to resolve the IP address of the requested domain name "www.site.com" (i.e.,

transmitting a name query request corresponding to the network resource name

service request) (Coughlin, C4: L7-13).

(B) Prior Arts fails to teach "second receiving, in response to the first

transmitting step, by the machine via the second network interface, a name query

response including a network address for the resource residing on the subnet

coupled to the machine via the second network interface", as claimed in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2141

As to point (B), Coughlin teaches in response to the request of the DNS

Page 9

server 120 above, the authoritative server 140 or one of the name servers 160

responds with a DNS packet having at least one IP address for the host 170 of

the domain "www.site.com" (i.e., via the second network interface) and once the

IP address is received, the DNS server 200 communicates the IP address to the

client 110 (i.e., via the first network interface) (Coughlin, C4: L53-57).

19. Applicant's arguments as well as request for reconsideration filed on

01/11/2005 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be

persuasive.

20. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of

time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2141

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number

is (571) 272-3886.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's SPE, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (571) 272-3880. The fax

phone number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 10